

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/760,367	01/21/2004	Hideyuki Kanayama	70591-016	1379
7590 08/21/2006			EXAMINER	
McDermott, Will & Emery 600 13th Street, N.W.			DUNWIDDIE, MEGHAN K	
Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2875	
			DATE MAILED: 08/21/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
,	10/760,367	KANAYAMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Meghan K. Dunwiddie	2875			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Jules</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 4,7,12,14,16/7,16/14,17/4,17/7,17/12, and 17/14 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the did drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1,3-5,7-15,16/3,16/5,16/7,16/10,16/11,16/14,16/15,17/1,17/3-17/5, and 17/7-17/15.

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims rejected are 1,3,5,8-11,13,15,16/3,16/5,16/10,16/11,16/15,17/1,17/3,17/5,17/8-17/11,17/13, and 17/15.

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is a Final Rejection in response to the amendment received on June 7, 2006 by **Kanayama** et al.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8-15, 16/2, 16/5, 16/6, 16/10, 16/11, 16/14, 16/15, 17/1-17/3, 17/5, 17/6, 17/8-17/15, and 18 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 8, 10, 13, 15, 16/10, 16/15, 17/1, 17/8, 17/10, 17/13, 17/15, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by **Mukawa** et al. (US 6561654).
- 4. In reference to Claim 1, Mukawa et al. shows an illuminating device comprising:
 - A white light source [Figure 1: (5)], and an auxiliary light source [Figure 1: (7)]
 emitting light having a wavelength component which is considered to be
 insufficient from the viewpoint of color reproduction in the white light source,

Art Unit: 2875

 Wherein the white light source and the auxiliary light source are arranged such that their respective optical axes cross each other [Figure 1: (5 and 7)],

- And light mixing means for mixing light from said white light source and light from said auxiliary light source and emitting the mixed lights is provided at the position where the optical axes cross each other [Figure 1: (17)],
- Wherein said auxiliary light source has a plurality of solid-state light sources emitting parallel light arranged therein [Figure 8: (7 and 57)],
- And an optical integrator for preventing the light emitted from the solid-state light sources from being introduced in a nonuniform state onto an object to be illuminated is provided on the light exit side of said light mixing means [Figure 8: (14, 58, and 59)].
- 5. In reference to Claim 8, **Mukawa** et al. shows:
 - A white light source [Figure 1: (5)] comprising a concave reflecting element
 [Figure 1: (9)], a light emitting point of said white light source being located in a
 concave portion of the concave reflecting element [Figure 1: (5 and 9)], and an
 auxiliary light source emitting light [Figure 1: (7)] having a wavelength component
 which is considered to be insufficient from the viewpoint of color reproduction in
 the white light source,
 - Wherein the light emitted from said auxiliary light source is condensed in the concave portion of the concave reflecting element and in the vicinity of the light emitting point of said white light source [Figure 1: (5, 7, and 9)].

Art Unit: 2875

6. In reference to Claim 10, Mukawa et al. shows:

 Said auxiliary light source has a plurality of solid-state light sources arranged therein [Figure 8: (7 and 57)],

And each of the solid-state light sources has a condenser element [Figure 8: (14, 58, and 59)].

7. In reference to Claim 13, **Mukawa** et al. shows:

- A first light source emitting nearly parallel lights [Figure 8: (5)],
- An optical member having a plurality of optical elements disposed with spaces
 therebetween for respectively introducing the lights emitted from said first light
 source in a direction [Figure 8: (10A and 10B)],
- And a second group of light sources respectively arranged in the spaces, and
 respectively emitting nearly parallel lights in the direction [Figure 8: (7 and 57)],
- A white light source being provided as said first light source [Figure 8: (5)],
- And an auxiliary light source emitting light having a wavelength component which
 is considered to be insufficient from the viewpoint of color reproduction in said
 white light source being provided as said second group of light sources [Figure 8:
 (7 and 57)].
- 8. In reference to Claim 15, **Mukawa** et al. shows:
 - Said auxiliary light source has a plurality of solid-state light sources respectively emitting nearly parallel lights arranged therein [Figure 8: (7 and 57)].

Art Unit: 2875

9. In reference to Claims 16/10 and 16/15, Mukawa et al. shows:

There are provided as said solid-state light sources solid-state light sources
respectively emitting lights having different wavelengths [See column 3 lines 2123 and column 7 lines 27-30 in reference to Figure 8: (7 and 57)],

- And there is provided means for driving each of the solid-state light sources to selectively emit the light [Figure 8: (55)].
- 10. In reference to Claims 17/1, 17/8, 17/10, 17/13, and 17/15, **Mukawa** et al. shows:
 - A projection type video display apparatus that modulates light emitted from an illuminating device using a light valve and projects the modulated light [See
 Figure 1].
- 11. In reference to Claim 18, Mukawa et al. shows:
 - A projection type video display apparatus that modulates light emitted from an illuminating device using a light valve and projects the modulated light [See Figure 1].

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2875

13. Claims 3, 5, 16/3, 16/5, 17/3, and 17/5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Mukawa** et al. (US 6561654) in view of **Wichner** et al. (US 6688747).

- 14. Regarding Claim 3, Mukawa et al. shows an illuminating device comprising:
 - A white light source [Figure 1: (5)],
 - And an auxiliary light source emitting light having a wavelength component which
 is considered to be insufficient from the viewpoint of color reproduction in the
 white light source [Figure 1: (7)],
 - Wherein used as the auxiliary light source is one emitting only red light in a
 predetermined wavelength range [See column 3 lines 21-23 in reference to
 Figure 1: (7)],
 - The auxiliary light source is arranged around a light emission area of said white light source [Figure 1: (7)].
 - Said auxiliary light source has a plurality of solid-state light sources respectively emitting parallel lights arranged therein [Figure 8: (7 and 57)].
- 15. Regarding Claim 16/3, Mukawa et al. shows:
 - There are provided as said solid-state light sources solid-state light sources respectively emitting lights having different wavelengths [See column 3 lines 21-23 and column 7 lines 27-30 in reference to Figure 8: (7 and 57)],

Art Unit: 2875

And there is provided means for driving each of the solid-state light sources to

selectively emit the light [Figure 8: (55)].

16. Regarding Claim 17/3, Mukawa et al. shows:

• A projection type video display apparatus that modulates light emitted from an

illuminating device using a light valve and projects the modulated light [See

Figure 1].

17. **Mukawa** et al. does not show:

• An optical integrator for preventing the lights respectively emitted from the light

sources being introduced in a nonuniform state onto an object to be illuminated,

A pair of fly's eye lenses is provided as said optical integrator,

And each of the solid-state light sources and each of lenses composing the pair

of fly's eye lenses correspond to each other.

18. Wichner et al. teaches:

An optical integrator for preventing the lights respectively emitted from the light

sources being introduced in a nonuniform state onto an object to be illuminated

[Figure 13a: (350)],

A pair of fly's eye lenses is provided as said optical integrator [Figure 13a: (350)

and 352)],

Art Unit: 2875

 And each of the solid-state light sources and each of lenses composing the pair of fly's eye lenses correspond to each other [Figure 13a: (144, 350, and 352)].

- 19. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to provide the illuminating device of **Mukawa** et al. with an optical integrator and the optical integrator being a pair of fly's eye lenses as taught by **Wichner** et al. for the purpose and advantage of preventing the lights emitted from the light sources from being illuminated in a nonuniform state and redistributing the light emitted from the light sources into a uniform state.
- 20. Regarding Claim 5, **Mukawa** et al. shows the claimed invention as cited above, but does not specifically teach a pair of fly's eye lenses provided as the optical integrator and each of the solid-state light sources and each of the lenses composing the pair of fly's eye lenses are arranged in correspondence with each other.
- 21. Regarding Claim 16/5, Mukawa et al. shows:
 - There are provided as said solid-state light sources solid-state light sources respectively emitting lights having different wavelengths [See column 3 lines 21-23 and column 7 lines 27-30 in reference to Figure 8: (7 and 57)],
 - And there is provided means for driving each of the solid-state light sources to selectively emit the light [Figure 8: (55)].

Art Unit: 2875

22. Regarding Claim 17/5, **Mukawa** et al. shows:

 A projection type video display apparatus that modulates light emitted from an illuminating device using a light valve and projects the modulated light [See

Figure 1].

23. Wichner et al. teaches:

• A pair of fly's eye lenses provided as said optical integrator [Figure 13a: (350 and

352)],

• And each of the solid-state light sources and each of lenses composing the pair

of fly's eye lenses are arranged in correspondence with each other [Figure 13a:

(144, 350, and 352)].

24. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the

invention to provide the illuminating device of Mukawa et al. with an optical integrator

and the optical integrator being a pair of fly's eye lenses as taught by Wichner et al. for

the purpose and advantage of preventing the lights emitted from the light sources from

being illuminated in a nonuniform state and redistributing the light emitted from the light

sources into a uniform state.

Art Unit: 2875

25. Claims 9, 11, 16/11, 17/9, and 17/11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Mukawa** et al. (US 6561654) in view of **Kudo** (US 5610763).

- 26. Regarding Claim 9, Mukawa et al. shows an illuminating device comprising:
 - A white light source [Figure 1: (5)], and an auxiliary light source [Figure 1: (7)]
 emitting light having a wavelength component which is considered to be
 insufficient from the viewpoint of color reproduction in the white light source,
 - Wherein light emitted from said white light source is condensed at a
 predetermined position, and the light emitted from the auxiliary light source is
 also condensed at said predetermined position [Figure 1: (13 and 14)].
- 27. Regarding Claim 11, **Mukawa** et al. shows:
 - Said auxiliary light source has a plurality of solid-state light sources arranged therein [Figure 8: (7 and 57)],
 - And each of the solid-state light sources has a condenser element [Figure 8: (14 and 58)].
- 28. Regarding Claims 16/11, Mukawa et al. shows:
 - There are provided as said solid-state light sources solid-state light sources
 respectively emitting lights having different wavelengths [See column 3 lines 2123 and column 7 lines 27-30 in reference to Figure 8: (7 and 57)],

Art Unit: 2875

 And there is provided means for driving each of the solid-state light sources to selectively emit the light [Figure 8: (55)].

29. Regarding Claims 17/9 and 17/11, Mukawa et al. shows:

 A projection type video display apparatus that modulates light emitted from an illuminating device using a light valve and projects the modulated light [See
 Figure 1].

30. Mukawa et al. does not show:

- A light incidence surface of a rod prism which is an optical integrator is located at the predetermined position,
- And wherein the aspect ratio of the light incidence surface of the rod prism and that of a light emission surface of the rod prism are substantially the same as the aspect ratio of an object to be illuminated.

31. **Kudo** teaches:

- A light incidence surface of a rod prism which is an optical integrator is located at the predetermined position [Figure 7: (40)],
- And wherein the aspect ratio of the light incidence surface of the rod prism and that of a light emission surface of the rod prism are substantially the same as the aspect ratio of an object to be illuminated [Figure 7: (40 and R)].

Art Unit: 2875

32. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention to provide the illuminating device of **Mukawa** et al. with a light incidence surface of a rod prism as an optical integrator located at the predetermined position as taught by **Kudo** for the purpose and advantage of concentrating the light emitted from the white light source and the auxiliary light source.

Allowable Subject Matter

33. Claim 4, 7, 12, 14, 16/7, 16/14, 17/4, 17/7, 17/12, and 17/14 are allowed.

Conclusion

34. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2875

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Meghan K. Dunwiddie whose telephone number is (571) 272-8543. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8 am-4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MKD

Stephen Husar
Primary Examiner